

To: Nelson, Britta[bknelson@blm.gov]
From: Butts, Sally
Sent: 2017-02-09T15:34:22-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: updated list of questions to address RMP amendments
Received: 2017-02-09T15:34:50-05:00
RMP-A Questions 020617 srb.docx

Britta,
Here's the edits we discussed on the phone today.

Sally

On Mon, Feb 6, 2017 at 5:02 PM, Nelson, Britta <bknelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Sally and Peter, I updated the list of RMP questions that is included in the Coordination IM so it addresses RMP amendments. The updated list is attached with changes shown in track changes. Please let me know if you have feedback or if I should share with Nikki.

Thanks.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (WO-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.236.0539

--

Sally R. Butts, J.D., Acting Division Chief
National Conservation Lands
Bureau of Land Management
20 M St. SE, Washington, DC 20003
Office 202-912-7170; Cell 202-695-5889; Fax 202-245-0050; sbutts@blm.gov

TO: Nikki Moore, Sally Butts, Peter Mali
 FROM: Britta Nelson
 DATE: February 6, 2017
 SUBJECT: updated draft RMP amendment list

This list should be addressed on the GSENM DRMP A to provide complete information for the WO review.

General

- Are all National Conservation Lands units that are located within the planning area identified and impacts on those lands addressed in the RMP A alternatives?
- Are management actions identified in the RMP that limit or exclude land use activities that are incompatible with the management of National Conservation Land units and other related special areas?

Lands with wilderness characteristics

- Does the FO have a complete, updated inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics? Yes, No, or Partial?
- Have external organizations provided inventory information and has that information been acknowledged in the RMP?
- Do alternatives consider impacts to wilderness characteristics? If not, provide an explanation about how future project level actions and analyses would consider impacts.

Eligible and Suitable WSR

- Have WSR eligibility and suitability evaluations been conducted and are they current? Yes or No?
- Are impacts to eligible and suitable WSR segments considered in the RMP A? If not, provide an explanation about how future project level actions and analyses would consider impacts.

National Scenic and Historic Trails

- Has an inventory of National Trail resources, qualities, values, and associated settings and the primary use or uses been conducted? Yes or No?
- Are impacts to the National Trail resources, qualities, values, and associated settings and the primary use or uses considered? If not, provide an explanation about how future project level actions and analyses would consider impacts.

Deleted:	
Deleted: e RMP list included in the draft Coordination IM has	...
Deleted: -	
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Deleted: and other related areas	
Deleted: the management	
Deleted: in	
Deleted: If not, is there a stand-alone plan for that unit?	
Formatted	...
Deleted: ¶	
Deleted: <#> Are mitigation standards identified for future	...
Formatted	...
Deleted: ¶	...
Deleted: appropriate land use allocations and/or	
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Deleted: ¶	
Deleted: <#> Has the State Director been briefed on RMP	...
Formatted	...
Deleted:	
Deleted: ¶	
Deleted: -	
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Deleted: ¶	
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Deleted: <#>¶	...
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Deleted: ¶	...
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Deleted: Did the FO complete WSR eligibility inventories	...
Formatted	...
Deleted: ¶	
Formatted	...
Deleted: <#>¶	...
Formatted	...
Deleted: /nonsuitable decisions made for all...WSR-	...
Deleted: ?	
Deleted: ¶	...
Deleted: - Is the national trail management corridor allocat	...
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Deleted: ¶	
Deleted: ¶	
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Formatted	...
Formatted	...